ഉത്തരം

(എ) കൊടുങ്ങല്ലൂരിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടുന്ന വില്ലേജുകൾക്കുവേണ്ടി താഴെപ്പറയുന്ന പദ്ധതികളുടെ വിശദ എൻജിനീയറിംഗ് റിപ്പോർട്ട് ഐ. പി. ഡി. വിഭാഗം തയ്യാറാക്കി സമർപ്പിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്.

1. എറിയാട് സെൻസസ് ടൗണിനുവേണ്ടി എൽ.ഐ.സി. യുടെ ധന സഹായത്തോടെ നടപ്പിലാക്കാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിക്കുന്ന ശുദ്ധജലവിതരണ പദ്ധതി.

2. മേത്തല സെൻസസ് ടൗണിനു വേണ്ടി എൽ.ഐ.സി. യുടെ ധന സഹായത്തോടെ നടപ്പിലാക്കാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിക്കുന്ന ശുദ്ധജല വിതരണ പദ്ധതി.

3. കേന്ദ്ര ഗവൺമെന്റിന്റെ 'ടെക്നോളജി മിഷൻ' ധനസഹായത്തോടെ ആഴിക്കോട് എടവിലങ്ങ് എന്നീ വില്ലേജുകൾക്കുവേണ്ടി നടപ്പിലാക്കാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിക്കുന്ന ശുദ്ധജലവിതരണ പദ്ധതി.

(ബി) നാട്ടിക സബ്ഡിവിഷന്റെ കീഴിലുള്ള വാടാനപ്പള്ളി സബ്ഡിവിഷൻ ഓഫീസ് കൊടുങ്ങല്ലൂരിലേക്കു മാറ്റുന്നതിനുള്ള ചീഫ് എൻജിനീയറുടെ ഒരു പ്രൊപ്പോസൽ മാനേജിംഗ് ഡയറക്ടറുടെ ഓഫീസിൽ ലഭിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. സെൻട്രൽ റീജിയന്റെ അധികാര പരിധി തീരുമാനമായാൽ ഇക്കാര്യം പരിഗണിക്കുന്നതാണ്.

വാട്ടർ ഡിപ്പാർട്ട്മെന്റ് ബോർഡ് രൂപീകരണം

72 (1983) ശ്രീ. സി. എഫ്. തോമസ് :
ശ്രീ. മാമ്മൻ മത്തായി :
ശ്രീ. കെ. എം. മാണി : താഴെ കാണുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക് ജലസേചനവും തൊഴിലും വകുപ്പുമന്ത്രി സദയം മറുപടി നൽകുമോ :

(എ) സംസ്ഥാനത്തു നദികളുടെ സംരക്ഷണം മുൻനിർത്തി വാട്ടർ ഡിപ്പാർട്ട്മെന്റ് ബോർഡ് രൂപീകരിക്കുന്നതിന് പദ്ധതി ഇട്ടിട്ടുണ്ടോ ;

(ബി) എങ്കിൽ വിശദാംശങ്ങൾ അറിയിക്കാമോ ?

ഉത്തരം

(എ&ബി) വാട്ടർ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെന്റ് ബോർഡ് രൂപീകരിക്കുന്നതിന് പദ്ധതിയില്ല.

ഹൈലെവൽ ടെൻഡർ കമ്മിറ്റി

73 (1984) ശ്രീ. ടി. എം. ജേക്കബ് : താഴെ കാണുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക് ജലസേചനവും തൊഴിലും വകുപ്പുമന്ത്രി സദയം മറുപടി നൽകുമോ :

(എ) സർക്കാർ തലത്തിലുള്ള ഹൈലെവൽ ടെൻഡർ കമ്മിറ്റി എന്നാണ് രൂപീകൃതമായതെന്നും അത് ഇപ്പോൾ നിലവിലുണ്ടോ എന്നും വ്യക്തമാക്കാമോ ;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(ബി) ഈ കമ്മിറ്റിയുടെ നടപടിക്രമങ്ങൾ എന്തെല്ലാമാണ് ;

(സി) ഈ കമ്മിറ്റിയിലെ അംഗങ്ങൾ ആരെല്ലാമാണെന്നും കമ്മിറ്റി രൂപീകൃതമായശേഷം എത്ര തവണ യോഗം കൂടിയെന്നും വ്യക്തമാക്കാമോ ;

(ഡി) ഈ സർക്കാർ അധികാരത്തിൽ വന്നശേഷം കൂടിയ ആദ്യ കമ്മിറ്റിയിൽ ഏതെല്ലാം അംഗങ്ങൾ പങ്കെടുത്തുവെന്നും ഏതെല്ലാം പണികളെ സംബന്ധിച്ച് ചർച്ച നടത്തിയെന്നും ഇതിൽ ഏതെല്ലാം പണികൾക്ക് അംഗീകാരം നൽകാനുള്ള നിർദ്ദേശമാണ് ഉണ്ടായതെന്നും വ്യക്തമാക്കാമോ ;

(ഇ) പ്രസ്തുത യോഗത്തിന്റെ മിനിട്സിന്റെ കോപ്പി ലഭ്യമാക്കാമോ ?

ഉത്തരം

(എ) 20-4-1988-ലാണ് സർക്കാർ തലത്തിലുള്ള ടെൻഡർ കമ്മിറ്റി രൂപീകൃതമായത്. ഇത് ഇപ്പോഴും നിലവിലുണ്ട്.

(ബി) കമ്മിറ്റിയുടെ നടപടിക്രമങ്ങൾ വ്യക്തമാക്കുന്ന സർക്കാർ ഉത്തരവുകളുടെ പകർപ്പുകൾ അനുബന്ധം-1 (എ)യും 2 (ബി)യും ആയി ചേർത്തിരിക്കുന്നു.†

(സി) ഇറിഗേഷൻ സെക്രട്ടറി, ധനകാര്യവകുപ്പ് സെക്രട്ടറി അഥവാ അദ്ദേഹത്തിന്റെ പ്രതിനിധി, ഇറിഗേഷൻ ചീഫ് എൻജിനീയർ, ടെൻഡർ കമ്മിറ്റിയുടെ പരിഗണനയിൽ വരുന്ന ജോലിയുമായി ബന്ധപ്പെട്ട ചീഫ് എൻജിനീയർ എന്നിവരെയാണ് 31-7-1989-ലെ ജി.ഒ. (എം. എസ്.) 15/89/ ഐ.ആർ.ഡി. ഉത്തരവ് പ്രകാരം കമ്മിറ്റിയിൽ ഉൾപ്പെടുത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളത്. ഈ സർക്കാർ അധികാരത്തിൽ വന്നശേഷം 25 തവണ യോഗം കൂടിയിട്ടുണ്ട്. മുൻസർക്കാരുകളുടെ കാലത്ത് എത്ര തവണ യോഗം കൂടി എന്നതിന്റെ വ്യക്തമായ കണക്ക് ലഭ്യമല്ല.

(ഡി & ഇ) ഈ സർക്കാർ അധികാരത്തിൽ വന്നശേഷം കൂടിയ ആദ്യത്തെ ടെൻഡർ കമ്മിറ്റി മീറ്റിംഗിൽ പങ്കെടുത്തവരുടേയും ചർച്ച ചെയ്ത് തീരുമാനിച്ച പണികളുടേയും വിശദവിവരങ്ങൾ ഉൾക്കൊള്ളുന്ന മിനിട്സ് അനുബന്ധം - 2 ആയി ചേർത്തിട്ടുണ്ട്.*

പൊതു വാട്ടർ ടാപ്പുകൾ

74 (1986) ശ്രീ. എ. കണാരൻ : താഴെ കാണുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക് ജലസേചനവും തൊഴിലും വകുപ്പുമന്ത്രി സദയം മറുപടി നൽകുമോ :

(എ) കഴിഞ്ഞ മൂന്നു വർഷത്തിനുള്ളിൽ മേപ്പയൂർ നിയോജക മണ്ഡലത്തിൽ എത്ര പൊതു വാട്ടർ ടാപ്പുകൾ സ്ഥാപിച്ചു നൽകിയിട്ടുണ്ട് ;

(ബി) ഇതെല്ലാം ഇപ്പോഴും പ്രവർത്തനയോഗ്യമാണോ ;

---

†അനുബന്ധം VII (a,b)

*ലൈബ്രറിയിൽ വച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

അനുബന്ധം VII (a)

[8-07-1999-ലെ നക്ഷത്രചിഹ്നമിടാത്ത ചോദ്യം നമ്പർ 73 (1984) ദയവായി കാണുക]

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

ABSTRACT

IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT-ACCEPTANCE OF TENDERS BY GOVERNMENT-FORMATION OF TENDER COMMITTEE - ORDERS ISSUED.

IRRIGATION (IRRIGATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. Ms. 8/88/Irrn. *Dated, Trivandrum 20-4-1988*

Read: Lr. No. WP2-11193/87 dtd. 7-11-1987 from the Chief Engineer, P II.

ORDER

The Chief Engineer, Project II as per his letter read above, has proposed formation of a Tender Committee to examine the acceptance of tenders so far as Irrigation works are concerned.

Government after having examined the proposal here by issue the following orders in the matter ;

1. A tender committee will be constituted consisting of the Secretary (Irrigation), Special Secretary (Finance), Additional Secretary (Irrigation), the chief Engineer concerned and another Chief Engineer of the Irrigation Wing of P.W.D. In respect of works taken up by the Irrigation Wing but not dealt within the Irrigation Department of the Secretariat (for example Inland Water Transport Works) the Secretary concerned will also be included in the tender committee.

2. All cases where the tendered amount is in excess of the Cheif Engineer's power will be referred to this committee and suitable action on the recommendation of the committee will be taken on circulating the same to the Minister concerned.

3. The Committee will lay down its procedure for examining the tenders and prepare a check list of points based on which the concerned Cheif Engineer will submit proposals for tender acceptance to the Committee.

The recommendation of the Committee will be examined by Government and orders issued.

BY ORDER OF THE GOVERNOR
N. CHANDRASEKHARAN NAIR,
Secretary to Government.

To

The Chief Engineer (G1)/P I/P II/ P III/Irn. /CAD.
The Accountant General (Accounts & E) This issues with the concurrence of Fin. Dept.
The Accountant General (Audit)
The Finance Dept. (Vide U.O. No. 923/Ind. PW B1/88 Fin. dated. 16-4-88)

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

അനുബന്ധം VII (b)

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

ABSTRACT

IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT-ACCEPTANCE OF TENDERS BY GOVERNMENT-FORMATION OF TENDER COMMITTEE - REVISED ORDERS ISSUED.

IRRIGATION (IRRIGATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. Ms. 15/89/Ir.D. *Dated, Trivandrum 31-7-1989*

Read: G.O. (Ms.) No. 8/88/Irrn. Dated. 20-4-1988.

ORDER

As per the G.O. read above, a Tender Committee was constituted for examining the acceptance of the tenders for the works relating to the Irrigation Department. Since the procedure to be followed as stipulated in G.O. results in delays in passing final orders, the objective in forming the tender committee is not often served. Government have, therefore examined the matter in the light of similar G.O. issued in the PWD and the procedure followed in that Department and have decided that the procedure generally followed in the PWD may be followed in the Irrigation Department also. Accordingly in supersession of G.O. cited above, the following orders are issued.

1. The Secretary, Irrigation Department, Secretary, Finance Department or his nominee, the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Department and the Cheif Engineer concerned will constitute the Tender Committee of the Irrigation Department.

2. The Committee will meet once in a fortnight or as often as may be necessary to dispose of all the tender proposals pending before the Government.

3. All cases where the tendered amount is in excess of the Chief Engineer's powers will be referred to this committee. The committee will take final decision subject to the approval of Minister (Irrigation), in cases where the total PAC is Rs. 50 lakhs or below. In other cases where the PAC is more than Rs. 50 Lakhs the recommendation of the Committeee will be circulated to Minister (Irrigation) and Minister (Finance) for approval before final orders are issued.

955/2010

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

4. The committee will lay down its procedure for examining the tenders and prepare checklist of points based on which the concerned Cheif Engineer will submit proposals for tender acceptance of the committee.

5. The Irrigation Department will take action to form a tender committee of Chief Engineers of the Irrigation Dept. to consider and finally dispose of without referring to the Govt. the tenders of which the PAC does not exceed Rs.4 Lakhs, irrespective of the percentage of tender excess.

By Order of the Govervor

M. JOSEPH
Secretary to Government.

To

The Chief Engineer (General)/P I/P II/ P III/Irrn. /CAD.
The Accountant General, Trivandrum/Trichur
(This issues with the concurrence of Fin. Dept.
The CA to Commr. & Secretary (Irrigation)
The CA to Addl. Secretary (I) CA to Commr. & Secy., FD.
The Finance Dept. (Vide U.O. No. 2206 (A) PW&B1/89 Fin. dated. 10-7-1989)

MINUTES OF THE TENDER COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 11-6-1996

Present :—

1. Shri. S. Sundareshan (Secretary, I & WS)
2. " V. K. Sreedharan (Addl. Secretary, Finance)
3. " R. Dharmarajan (Chief Engineer, I & A)
4. " V. P. Arumughan (Cheif Engineer, P - I)
5. " T.T. Kripananda Singh (Cheif Engineer, P-II)
6. " K. Vijayan (Chief Engineer, CAD)
7. Smt. M. Leelamony Amma, (Chief Engineer, P-III)

The following items were discussed and decision taken :

Item No. 152/96 (File No. 10764/IR2/96/IRD) ASE - construction of sea wall for a length of 1600 m from Kollamgode to Poovar between BLS 0 to 16 Appendix - A. Construction of a Sea Wall for a length of 400 m from BLS 0 to 4.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Administrative sanction for the work as accorded by government for an amount of Rs. 138 lakhs in G. O. (Rt.) No. 534/96/IRD dated 2-5-1995. The Chief Engineer (I & A) awarded Technical Sanction for the above work for the same amount. When the work was tendered, two tenders were received. The lower tender Sri. Edward John P. who quoted 43% above the estimate rate did not reduce the rate on negotiation.

The tender committee considered the case in detail. It is noted that the quoted PAC of the work is Rs. 48,65,166 against the estimated PAC of 34,02,214. The Cheif Engineer (I & A) informed the committee that this work has been included in the list of works approved by the Central Water Commission as No. 5(16) 91-95 CED 667-69 dtd. 17-11-1995 and Rs. 1.10 crore was alloted for the Anti Sea Erosion measures to protect the emergent conservation of Kerala cost in Thiruvananthapuram District as per letter No. PC (P) L2/94/KRL dtd. 9-2-1996 of the Planning Commission. But the work has only a token provision for 1996-1997. The Committee after detailed discussion decided to recommend to accept the offer of Sri. Edward John P. on his quoted rate of 43% (forty three percent) above Estimate Rate less cost of Departmental materials subject to the condition that funds have to be provided in the state budget for the work before taking it up for execution.

Item No. 153/96 (File No. 10765/IR2/96/IRD) - ASE work construction of sea wall for a length of 1600 m from Kollamgode to Poovar between BLS 0 to 16 Appendix - B. Construction of a Sea Wall for a length of 400 m from BLS 4 to 8.

Administrative Sanction for the above work was accorded by govt. in G.O. (Rt) No. 534/96/IRD. dtd. 2-5-1996. The Technical Sanction was issued by the Chief Engineer (I & A). When the work was tendered only one tenders was received. It is from Sri. Edward John P. who quoted 57% above the estimate rate. He is not prepared to reduce the rate. The quoted PAC comes to Rs. 53,20,752 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 3,38,014.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. This is a work approved by the Central Water Commission. The planning commission has alloted Rs. 1.10 Crores for anti sea erosion works in the District. But the work has only a token provision in the state budget. The Cheif Engineer (I & A) informed the committee that the chance of getting a better offer is quite remote as the estimate is prepared based on 1992 schedule of rate. The Committee after detailed examination of the case decided to recommend to government to accept the offer of Sri. Edward John P. at his quoted rate of 57% (Fifty Seven Percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials subject to the condition that funds have to be provided in the state budget for the work before taking it up for execution.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Item No. 154/96 - File No. 10933/IR2/96/IRD - ASE work - construction of sea wall for a length of 1600 m from Kollamgode to Poovar between BLS 0 to 16 App. C. Construction of a Sea Wall for a length of 400 m from BLS 8 to 12.

Administrative Sanction for the above work was accorded by government in G.O. (Rt.) No. 534/96/IRD. dtd. 2-5-1996. Technical Sanction for the work was accorded by the Chief Engineer (I & A).When the work was tendered two tenders were received. The lower offer was from Sri J.S. Subash Chandra Babu at his quoted rate of 53% above the estimate rate. He did not reduce the rate on negotiation. The quoted PAC is Rs. 51,29,518 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 33,52,626.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. This is a work approved by the Central Water Commission. The planning commission has alloted Rs. 1.10 Crores for the anti sea erosion works of Thiruvananthapuram District. But the work has only a token provision in the state budget. The Tender Committee after detailed discussion decided to recommend Government to accept the offer of Shri. Subhash Chandra Babu at his quoted rate of 53% (Fifty three Percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials. Subject to the condition that funds have to be included in the state budget for the work before taking it up for execution.

Item No. 155/96-File No. 10934/IR2/96/IRD) - ASE - work construction of sea wall for a length of 1600 m from Kollamgode to Poovar between BLS 0 to 16 App. D. Construction of a Sea Wall for a length of 400 m from BLS 12 to 16.

Administrative Sanction for the work was accorded by government in G.O. (Rt.) No. 534/96/IRD. dtd. 2-5-1996. Technical Sanction was issued by the Chief Engineer (I & A). When the work was tendered two tenders were received. of the two, the one received from Shri K. Reghunathan who quoted 49.10% above the estimate rate was the lower. On negotiation he was not prepared to reduce the rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 49,79,084 and the estimated PAC is Rs. 33,39, 426.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. This work is inlcuded in the approved ASE work by the CWC. The planning commission has alloted Rs. 1.10 Crores for the anti sea Erosion works in Thiruvananthapuram District. But there is only a token provision the work in the budget. The Tender Committee after deatiled examination of the case decided to recommend Government to accept the offer of Shri. K. Raghunathan at his quoted rate of 49.10% (Forty nine point one zero percent) less cost of departmental meterials subject to the condition that funds have to be included in the state budget for the work before taking it up for execution.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Item No. 156/96 - File No. 10206/IR3/96/IrD - MVIP - Communication link to Ramamangalam M.D.-Improvement to Nythusalappady -Ullappilly Road-

An estimate amounting to Rs. 5 lakhs for the above work was sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer, Project Circle, Muvattupuzha. When the work was tendered, two tenders were received.Of the two the offer from Sri Joy Cheriyan who quoted 90% above the estimate rate was the lower. He has not reduced the rate on negotiation. The quoted PAC of the work is Rs. 8,31,298 againt the estimated PAC of Rs. 4,80,549.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, Projects II informed the committee that there is no chance of getting a better offer even if the work is retendered due to the increase in the cost of materials and labour charges from the 1992 schedule of rates. The committee after detailed examination of the case decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer of Sri Joy Cheriyan at a reduced rate of 65% (Sixty five percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 157/96 - File No. 11349/IR2/96/IrD) ASE work-Reformation of sea wall at Kovilthottam between ch. 30.900 and 31.560 km (bet . CP. Stones 535 & 5345)

Government in G.O. (Rt.) No. 519/94/IRD dt. 18-5-94 accorded administratives sanction for the work at an estimated cost of Rs. 45,00,000. Technical sanction for the work was accorded by the Chief Engineer (I & A) for the same amount. This is a budgetted work for 1996-97 with a provision of Rs. 5,00,000. When the work was tendered, three tenders were received. Sri. Subash Chandra Babu who quoted 53.80% above the estimate rate was the lowest tenderer. On negotiation he is not prepared to reduce the rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 66,95,000 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 43,53,641.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer (I & A) informed the committee that there is no chance of getting a better offer even if the work is retendered. The committee after detailed discussion decided to recommend to Govt. to accept the offer of Sri. J. S. Subash Chandra Babu at his quoted rate of 53.80 (Fifty three point eight percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Item No. 158/96 — File No. 10931/IR3/96/IrD - MVIP - Forming link road between the new deviation road II reach and old PWD road by the side of the temple at Saramkutty and construction a bridge across the existing thodu and forming a paraliel road through the boundary of FRL area.

An estimate amounting to Rs. 14 lakhs as sanctioned by the superintending Engineer, project circle, Muvattupuzha for the above work. When tenders were invited for the work two tenders received. The lower offer was from Shr. C.A. Thomas who quoted 88% above the estimate rate. On negotiation he reduced the rate to 85% above the estimate rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 22,85,615 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 13,85,497

The Tender committee considered the case in detail the Chief Engineer, Projects II informed the committee that this being an estimate prepared based on 1992 schedule of rates, better offer is not expected even if the work is retendered. The Tender Committee after detailed discussion decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer of Sri. C.A. Thomas at a reduced rate of 65% (Sixty five percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 159/96 — File No. 10932/IR3/96/IrD - MVIP - Easing the curve of Thodupuzha-Ramamangalam road -

An estimate amounting to Rs. 5.60 Lakhs was sanctioned by the superintending Engineer, Project Circle, Muvattupuzha when tenders were invited for this work, only one tender was received. This was from Sri. Viji Chennakadan who quoted 100% above the estimate rate. On negotiation he reduced the rate to 85% above the estimate rate. The estimated PAC of the work is Rs. 5,43,981 and the quoted PAC is Rs. 8,14,351.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. The chief Engineer, Projects II informed the committee that there is no chance of getting a better offer even if the work is retendered in view of the high cost of materials and labour charges. The Committee after detailed discussion decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer of Sri Viji Chennakadan at a reduced rate of 65% (Sixty five percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 160/96 — File No. 9583/MI-2/96/IrD - M.I. Class II-Construction of VCB across Manthodu at Manakkal Thazham in Athavanad Panchayat.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

The Chief Engineer (I & A) accorded administrative sanction for the above class II M.I. work at an estimated cost of Rs. 8,00,000. This is a class II M.I. work. There is a lumpsum provision of Rs. 337 lakhs for class II M. I. works. When tenders were invited for the work, two tenders were received. Out of those two Sri. P. Salim was the lower tender with a quoted rate of 89% above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials. The quoted PAC is Rs. 13,01,894 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 7,82,456.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. The estimate is prepared based on 1992 schedule of rates. The cheif Engineer (I & A) informed the committee that there is no chance of getting a better offer even if the work is retendered. The Tender committee after detailed discussion decided to recommed to Government to accept offer of Sri. Salim at a reduced rate of 70% (Seventy percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 161/96 — File No. 11236/ISW2/96/IrD - IIP - Conveyance of cement M.S. roads and other departmental materials to IIP Division Store, Angamaly for 96-97.

An amount of Rs. 9.13 lakhs was sanctioned by the superintending Engineer, Irrigation Project Circle, Piravom for the above work. When tenders were invited, two tenders were received. Out of this two of the tender received from Sri. C. S. Radhakrishnan who quoted 92% above the estimate rate was the lower. The quoted PAC is Rs. 17,51,827 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 9,12,410 on negotiation also he was not willing to reduce the rate.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, Projects II informed the committee that the rate quoted is reasonable due to the increase in labour charges, hire charges and loading and unloading charges of lorry. The Tender committee after detailed discussion decided to recommend to accept the offer at the reduced rate of 75% (Seventy five percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 162/96 — File No. 11236/ISW2/96/IrD - PVIP - Construction of an Inspection Bunglow with compound wall in the FVIP Colony at Aluva.

An estimate amounting to Rs. 19,50,000 was sanctioned by the superintending Engineer, Project Circle, Piravom for the above work. In response to the tender call only one tender was received. It was from Sri. A. P. Rajappan who quoted 121% above the estimate rate. One negotiation he reduced the rate to 99.1% above the estimate rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 22,29,960 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 12,68,141.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, Projects II informed the committee that since the estimate has been prepared based on the 1992 schedule of rate, a better offer is not expected for the building work even if the work is retendered. The tender committee after detailed examination of the case decided to recommend to government to accept the offer of Sri. Rajappan at a reduced rate of 85% (Eighty Five Percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 163/96 — File No. 11037/ISW2/96/IrD - Karapuzha Project Construction of spillway from level +743m to 756m departmental execution.

An Expert committee was constituted as per G.O. (Ms.) No. 4/92/IrD dt., 11-2-1992 to locate and decide the type of spill way for Karapuzha dam. The committee recommended to construct a concrete spill way from ch. 184 m to 219 m in the right bank and Government acepted the recommendation. The Chief Engineer, IDRB approved the design for the concrete spill way.

The foundation excavation of the spill way and spillway work upto level +743 was taken up on departmental execution earlier as per G.O. (Rt.) 498/94/IrD dt., 4-5-1994 and G.O. (Rt.) No. 855/94/IrD dt., 26-8-1994 respectively. The excavation work completed as per schedule and the spillway work upto +743 will be over by 8/96. Now the cheif Engineer, Projects I has proposed to carry out the second stage of spill way from level +743 m to +756 m during 1996-1997. The work mainly is to carry out 52000 m^3 of concreting for the spillway structure as per the approved plan and as suggested by the expert committee. Cement concrete m 200 is proposed for the upstream and the downstream face and m 150 for the inner core as per the approved design. The mix was got designed from the Regional Engineering College to attain the desired strength stipulated by the expert committee. Considering the success of the departmental execution of previous two works, the Chief Engineer, projects I has proposed the departmental execution of the third stage of the work (ie. second stage of the spillway) also at an estimated cost of Rs. 10 Crores. The project is programmed for commissioning by 1997 with the financial assistance from NABARD. NABARD has sanctioned a loan and Government have earmarked sufficient funds ie 37.67 crores for completing the project in 1996-1997. It is also reported by the Cheif Engineer, Project II that in order to achieve the required target the second stage of the spillway has to be arranged immediately. He has pointed out that the departmental execution will ensure the completion of the spillway concreting work during the next working season itself without any delay and without additional financial commitment.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

The Tender committee considered the proposal of the Cheif Engineer, Project II to take up the work of the second stage of the spillway from level +743 m to 756 m by departmental execution at rates not exceeding 80% over 1992 schedule of rates to ensure timely completion. The chief Engineer, Project I informed the committee that the previous experience of the departmental execution was a success and department has the infrastructure for the work and an early completion on expected lines is certain if it is executed departmentally. The committee after detailed examination of the case and taking into consideration the increase in the cost of materials and labour charges decided to recommend to Government to accept the proposal for the departmental execution of the work at rates not exceeding 75% (Seventy Five Percent) over 1992 schedule of rates.

Item No. 164/96 — File No. 11038/ISW2/96/IrD - K.K.I.P. - Formation of main canal from ch. 7953 to ch. 15293 m upto Varattayar and construction of a aqueduct across Korayar.

The chief Engineer, Projects I has proposed to take up the execution of the work cited departmentally. The estimate cost of the work is 9,55,00,000 and the work is under Kuriyarkutty Karappara Project. Government have already sanctioned the execution of the canal work of the project upto Korayar departmentally at rates not exceeding 60% above the estimate rates of 1992 schedule.

The proposal is to execute the work under reference also departmentally for speedy implementation with little difficulty to the land owners, as they have come forward the surrender land free of cost seeing the tremendous progress of the departmentally executed work mentioned above. Heavy machines have to be used for the construction of the canal and aqueduct. Any indifferent use of these machines would cause damage to the agriculturist. As the work is to be executed in the draught prone areas of Kozhinjanppara, it was stated that the execution of work through contractors would be quite expensive. Hence the chief Engineer, Project I has requested Government that sanction may be accorded to execute the above work departmentally on piece work agreements at rates not exceeding 80% above the etimate of 1992 schedule of rates.

The Tender committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, Projects I informed the committee that the departmental execution of the other canal work is a success and this proposal is economically beneficial to Government and water can be let down to the check dam in Varattayar before the next draught period if the work is done departmentally.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

There is an allotment of Rs. 3,47,00,000 for this work for 1996-1997. The committee considered the case in detail and decided to request Government to accord sanction to execute the work departmentally on piece work agreement at rates not exceeding 70% (Seventy percent) above estimate rate at 1992 schedule of rates.

Item No. 165/96 — File No. 9175/ISW3/96/IrD - Pazhassi Irrigation Project - Protection Works - Sivan Temple, Iritty.

May be placed before the next committee with notes.

Item No. 166/96 — File No. 11339/CADA2/96/IrD - PVIP - CADA Construction of road from MCBS to Njattupadam in Karumalloor Panchayat.

Government in G.O. (Rt.) No. 356/96/IrD dt. 18-3-1996 accorded administrative sanction to the above work for an amount of Rs. 2,75,000. In response to the tender call only one tender received. It was from Sri. P. V. George at 79% above the estimate rate. On negotiation he reduced the rate to 60% above estimate rate. The estimated PAC of the work is Rs. 2,69,080 and the quoted PAC is Rs. 4,04,009.

The Tender committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, CADA informed the committee that the estimate of the work is based on 1992 schedule of rates and as such no better offer is expected. The Committee after detailed examinate of the case decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer at his reduced rate of 60% (Sixty percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 167/96 — File No. 11338/CADA2/96/IrD -Kuttiyadi Irrigation Project -CADA - Constructing Farm road from Muyyottumalanada to choyimadam.

Government in .G. O. (Rt.) No. 147/96/IrD dt. 5/2/1996 Sanctioned an estimate amounting to Rs. 23,10,000 for the above work. When the work was tendered two tenders were received. The lowest is from Sri. D. Ponnappan who quoted 59% above the estimate rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 23,04,470 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 15,15,154. The Tenderer is not prepared to reduce the rate on negotiation.

The Tender committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, CADA informed that a better offer is not expected on retender as the rates are based on 1992 schedule of rates. The tender committee after detailed examination of the case decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer of Sri. D. Ponnappan at his quoted rate of 59% (Fifty nine percent) above the estimate rate.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Item No. 168/96 — File No. 11331/CADA2/96/IrD -Construction of farm road through Amatharapadam connecting Vettikulam Assisi Nagar Karukutty Panchayat.

Government in G.O. (Rt.) No. 147/96/Ird Dt., 5-2-1996 sanctioned an amount of Rs. 5,10,000 for the work. In response to the tender call, two tenders were received. Sir. P. J. James who quoted 98% above the estimate rate less cost of departmental material is the lower. On negotiation he reduced the rate to 60% above the estimate rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 6,60,439/- and the estimated PAC is Rs. 4,71,314.

The Tender committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, CADA informed the committee that there is no chance of getting a better offer even if the work is retendered. The committee after detailed exmaination of the case decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer at his reduced quoted rate of 60% (Sixty percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 169/96 — CADA- Construction of Kuttamparambu Vellaramkala farm road in Edakkattuvayal Panchayat.

Government in G. O. (Rt.) No. 267/95/IrD Dt. 13-3-1995 accorded administrative sanction for the work at an estimated cost of Rs. 7,60,000 in response to the tender call, only one tender was received. It was from Shri. V. M. Mani who quoted 61% above the estimate rate. One negotiation he reduced the rate to 60% above the estimate rate. The estimate PAC of the work is Rs. 5,50,120 and the quoted PAC is Rs. 9,65,560.

The committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, CADA informed that a better offer is not expected due to the hike in labour charges and prices in respect to 1992 schedule of rates. The committee after detailed examination of the case decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer of Sri. Mani at his reduced rate of 60% (sixty percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 170/96 — File No. 11332/CADA2/96/IrD - IVIP CADA Improvements to farm Road connecting Thrikkariyoor Nedumkari road at Kommendhapadi and Ramalloor Kappalapadi etc.

Chief Engineer, CADA issued adimistrative sanction for the work at an estimated cost of Rs. 1,85,000. In response to the above tender call only one tender was received. It was from Sri. Kennedy peter who quoted 81% above the estimate rate. On negotiation he reduced the rate to 60% above the estimate rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 2,68,684 against the estimated PAC of Rs 1,82,183.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

The Tender committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, CADA informed the committee that a better offer is not expected even if the work is retendered as the estimate is prepared based on 1992 schedule of rates. The committee after detailed examination of the case decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer of Sri. Kennady peter at his reduced quoted rate of 60% (Sixty Percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 171/96 — File No. 11334/CADA2/96/IrD - PVIP-CADA constructing farm road from Prabhath junction to Kavukkunnu Kottugalpadam in Karumalloor Panchayat. Administrative sanction for the above work was sanctioned in G. O. (Rt.) No. 356/96/IrD dt., 18-3-1996 for Rs. 2,95,000. When the work was tendered, only a single tender was received. It was from Sri. P. M. Aliyar with a quoted rate of 80% above the estimate rate. On negotiation he reduced the rate to 60% above the estimate rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 4,44,351 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 2,94,270.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detail. The Chief Engineer, CADA informed the committee that a better offer is not expected. The Tender Committee after detailed examination of the case decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer of Shri. P. M. Aliyar at his reduced quoted rate of 60% (Sixty Percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

Item No. 172/96 — File No. 11333/CADA2/96/IrD - Ky.I.P. - CADA construction of farm road from Thondarappara to Kodarichal in Kuthali Panchayat.

Government in G. O. (Rt.) No. 2981/95/IrD dt., 17-3-1995 issued administrative sanction to the above work at an estimated cost of Rs. 16,75,000. When the work was tendered, to tenders were received. The offer of Sri Anwar Hussain who quoted 55.08% above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials was the lowest. On negotiation he was not prepared to reduce the rate. The quoted PAC is Rs. 23,24,516 against the estimated PAC of Rs. 15,73,877.

The Tender Committee considered the case in detial. The Chief Engineer, CADA informed the committee that a better offer is not expected due to the increase in labour charges and prices in relation to 1992 schedule of rates. The Committee after detailed examination of the case decided to recommend to Government to accept the offer at his quoted rate of 55.8% (Fifty five point eight percent) above the estimate rate less cost of departmental materials.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly